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Location: Philippines, Southeast Asia

Approach to Blended Finance: The Philippine Water Revolving Fund (PWRF) was set up in 2008 to provide loans to water service providers—local government units (LGUs) and water districts (WDs)—to finance local water and wastewater projects. Loan repayments made into the fund are revolved to finance other projects.

The PWRF blends Official Development Assistance (ODA) and domestic public funds with commercial financing to lower borrowing rates, and to market water and sanitation projects to private finance institutions (PFIs). Concessional seed financing from the Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC), was provided to the PWRF at the start of the program. The financing is backed by a standby credit line (to protect against liquidity risk) and a co-guarantor credit guarantee.

Context

In the Philippines, financing for the water and sanitation sector traditionally came from a combination of international development funds, domestic public funds, and revenues from bill collection. In the 1990s, such funds proved insufficient to cover infrastructure investment costs, which generated increased attention for mobilizing private sector financing. Legal and regulatory reforms were initiated to make way for mobilizing domestic finance. In 2004, Executive Order 279 modified the financing policies for local water service providers. This led to the establishment of a system to categorize water service providers, including local government units (LGUs) and water districts (WDs) according to their levels of creditworthiness: those qualifying as creditworthy were expected to shift away from government financing and mobilize market-based financing sources. However, lending from private banks to water utilities was virtually nonexistent at that time, as local commercial banks were not familiar with utilities and saw them as weak and inefficient.

To address those challenges, the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and Japan Bank for International Cooperation (JBIC) worked in partnership with the Government of the Philippines to create the Philippine Water Revolving Fund (PWRF) and help mobilize additional domestic commercial financing for water utilities through blending with ODA funding. The PWRF was set up in 2008 as a co-financing facility with the purpose of facilitating private institutional financing to support municipal water and wastewater projects. The PWRF program is multifaceted in its approach to address obstacles that prevent the flow of commercial finance into the water sector, and involved support in three key areas: innovative financing, operational strengthening, and regulatory reforms.

Innovative financing includes the establishment of a revolving fund mechanism, the Philippine Water Revolving Fund (PWRF) as well as two complementary...
financial market-enabling components: (1) a credit rating system to help inform investors; and (2) a water project appraisal training program to build the capacity of lenders with little prior experience of investing in the water sector. For the operational strengthening component, the objective was to help utilities improve internal operations and creditworthiness, thereby allowing them to develop bankable projects. Finally, regulatory reform efforts helped improve the enabling environment for commercial finance lending to water utilities.

**Financial Structure and Approach to Blended Finance**

The PWRF on-lends concessional funding sourced originally from JBIC through the Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP), blending it with funds from domestic private commercial banks to be lent out in support of water projects. The average financing ratio has been about 50–75 percent from a DBP/JBIC loan, and 25–50 percent from private lenders. The loans offer favorable concessional terms, specifically an effective interest rate that is slightly lower than the prevailing market rate and longer maturities. This helps address two key barriers for the mobilization of private finance: short tenors and high costs of borrowing.

Typically, utilities require a 15-20 year repayment term, in order to amortize up-front investments in capital infrastructure and ensure affordable water services to households. In the Philippines, bank loans could not be obtained for periods longer than seven to ten years. This obstacle was removed through a PWRF mechanism that used public funds, from the Development Bank of the Philippines/Municipal Development Finance Office (MDFO), to provide a standby credit line to cover the liquidity risk of private finance institutions, and refinance the private bank loans, if required. In this way the liquidity enhancement allowed the PWRF to extend longer loans.

Two guarantee types have been utilized by PWRF to boost investor confidence. The original JBIC loan provided to DBP was backed by a sovereign guarantee from the Government of the Philippines. At the same
time, PWRF maintains a credit risk guarantee option from the LGU Guarantee Corporation (LGUGC). Formed in 1998, the LGUGC is a private third-party guarantor that helps LGUs access financing by offering guarantees on LGU loans and bonds for all types of infrastructure projects. The guarantee reduces the risk of an LGU default through the use of the LGUGC ability to intercept the tax revenues from the central government to the LGU. The LGU guarantee functions so as to replace hard collateral with the assignment of revenues, an option that offers protection in the case of default because the revenue sources can be accessed to pay lenders. The LGUGC is itself backed by a guarantee from the USAID Development Credit Authority for up to 50 percent of the LGUGC’s exposure.

Results

In 2010, the Puerto Princesa City Water District received one of the first loans from PWRF. The loan was used to finance the rehabilitation and expansion of the city’s water system. The loan was co-financed by the state-owned Development Bank of the Philippines (DBP) and the Bank of the Philippine Islands (BPI), the third largest commercial bank in the Philippines. The co-financing agreement was for a PhP 562 million (US$13 million) loan. DBP contributed 75 percent, which was on-lent from the JBIC loan contribution. BPI used its own funds, which constituted 25 percent of the total loan. Eighty-five percent of the BPI loan was guaranteed by LGUGC and USAID. The Puerto Princesa City Water District provided equity in the amount of 10 percent of total project cost. The tenor on the DBP loan was 15 years, while the tenor on the BPI loan was 10 years with an extendable five-year option. Both loans came with a two-year grace period. The Water District effectively received a 15-year tenor for the full loan amount because of the DBP undertaking to provide liquidity cover (through the standby credit line) if BPI would not extend the loan.

From inception through 2014 the PWRF successfully channelled more than US$234 million in loans for water and sanitation projects to finance 21 water and sanitation projects, of which approximately 60 percent came from private banks. An estimated six million people have benefited from the new or improved access to piped water.

To date there have been no defaults on loan repayment. Domestic banks have started lending to water districts on their own, and this is credited to the confidence building and impetus of using a blended finance approach implemented through the revolving fund.

Lessons Learned

Blending through the revolving fund has resulted in lower borrowing costs for water service providers and longer tenors. Longer tenors are achieved through use of standby credit lines. To extend loans that reflected the lifetime of utility assets, PWRF included a liquidity risk protection mechanism that allowed private finance institutions the option to extract their loan early, by ensuring refinancing through the standby credit line from the DBP. This enabled the utilities to access financing without having to substantially increase tariffs.

The different credit enhancements offered with PWRF lowered investment risk. The blending design of the PWRF, facilitated by the different credit enhancements, offers a mechanism whereby local private financial institutions can invest in the sector with low risk, transforming the way banks assess and finance water projects. However, such instruments vary according to availability in country (LGUGC type entities do not exist in other countries) and from donors like USAID/DCA.

The multi-layered approach of PWRF to mobilize commercial finance is important to bear in mind. Blended finance was accomplished through the revolving fund mechanism, but additional technical support and regulatory changes helped improve the enabling environment and access to commercial finance. Technical assistance to both investors and utilities helped them assess risk and improve their performance respectively.
This case study is part of a series prepared by the World Bank's Water Global Practice to highlight existing blended finance experiences in the water sector.

Blended finance refers to “the strategic use of development finance and philanthropic funds to mobilize private capital flows to emerging and frontier markets,” as per the OECD definition (WEF OECD, 2015). Concessional funds can be used in a catalytic manner to open up new opportunities for commercial financing, by providing technical assistance to borrowers and lenders to help them become more familiar with each other, help structure transactions, provide credit enhancement mechanisms, etc.

Private capital flows can help with meeting immediate financing needs for investment in the water sector but ultimately need to be repaid. Repayable financing from private sources to the water sector can come in various forms, including as commercial bank loans, bonds or equity. To obtain such financing, water-sector actors need to be able to repay the borrowed amounts and the associated funding costs, which means that they need to be deemed “creditworthy” by providers of finance.
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